Paul Krugman

Cash for trash

ome skeptics are calling Henry Paulson's \$700 billion rescue plan for the U.S. financial system "cash for trash." Others are calling the proposed legislation the Authorization for Use of Financial Force, after the Authorization for Use of Military Force, the infamous bill that gave the Bush

administration the green light to invade Iraq.

There's justice in the gibes. Everyone agrees that something major must be done. But Paulson is demanding extraordinary power for himself — and for his successor — to deploy taxpayers' money on behalf of a plan that, as far as I can see, doesn't make

Some are saying that we Americans should simply trust Paulson, because he's a smart guy who knows what he's doing. But that's only half true: He is a smart guy, but what, exactly, in the experience of the past year and a half — a period during which Paulson repeatedly declared the financial crisis "contained," and then offered a series of unsuccessful fixes — justifies the belief that he knows what he's doing? He's making it up as he goes along, just like the rest of us.

So let's try to think this through for ourselves. I have a four-step view of the financial crisis:

1. The bursting of the housing bubble has led to a surge in defaults and foreclosures, which in turn has led to a plunge in the prices of mortgage-backed securities — assets whose value ultimately comes from mortgage payments.

2. These financial losses have left many financial institutions with too little capital — too few assets compared with their debt. This problem is especially severe because everyone took on so much debt during

the bubble years.

3. Because financial institutions have too little capital relative to their debt, they haven't been able or willing to provide the credit the economy needs.

4. Financial institutions have been trying to pay down their debt by selling assets, including those mortgage-backed securities, but this drives asset prices down and makes their financial position even worse. This vicious circle is what some call the "paradox of deleveraging."

The Paulson plan calls for the federal government to buy up \$700 billion worth of troubled assets, mainly mortgage-backed securities.

How does this resolve the crisis?

Well, it might — might — break the vicious circle of that rescue has done what it was supposed to. Mort-ture.



By Ammer in the Wiener Zeitung (Vienna). CWS / Carto

deleveraging, step 4 in my capsule description. Even that isn't clear: The prices of many assets, not just those the Treasury proposes to buy, are under pressure. And even if the vicious circle is limited, the financial system will still be crippled by inadequate capital.

Or rather, it will be crippled by inadequate capital unless the federal government hugely overpays for the assets it buys, giving financial firms — and their stockholders and executives — a giant windfall at tax-payer expense. Did I mention that I'm not happy with

this plan?

The logic of the crisis seems to call for an intervention, not at step 4, but at step 2: the financial system needs more capital. And if the government is going to provide capital to financial firms, it should get what people who provide capital are entitled to — a share in ownership, so that all the gains if the rescue plan works don't go to the people who made the mess in the first place.

That's what happened in the savings and loan crisis: The feds took over ownership of the bad banks, not just their bad assets. It's also what happened with Fannie and Freddie. (And by the way, that rescue has done what it was supposed to. Mort-

gage interest rates have come down sh federal takeover.)

But Paulson insists that he wants a "Clean," in this context, means a tax bailout with no strings attached — no c the part of those being bailed out. Wh thing? Add to this the fact that Paulson i ing dictatorial authority, plus immuni "by any court of law or any administ and this adds up to an unacceptable pr

I'm aware that Congress is under e sure to agree to the Paulson plan in the with at most a few modifications that less bad. Basically, after having spent a telling everyone that things were unc Bush administration says that the sky that to save the world Americans hav what it says now now now.

But I'd urge Congress to pause for a deep breath, and try to seriously rev ture of the plan, making it a plan tha real problem. Don't let yourself be r this plan goes through in anything I form, we'll all be very sorry in the not